Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/057,212	RACZEK, NICO N.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	C. SAYALA	1761
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>C. SAYALA</u> .	(3)	
(2) Ms Cathy Moore, Reg # 45764.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>1 April 2004</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description:	olicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: Claim 1 and 9-11		
Claims discussed: Same as above		,
Prior art documents discussed: as applied		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GE See Continuation Sheet	ENERAL NATURE OF WHA	T WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
Clarale		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Appli	cant/Applicant's Representa	tive Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/057,212

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's arguments of unobviousness, based on the examples in the specification, while convincing, does not extend to the entire range claimed in the amendment submitted 3/19/04. Examiner was authorized to make the changes shown in the examiner's amendment so that the case can be placed in issuance.